Addiction Health

Characteristics of waterpipe smokers who want to quit population-based findings from Syria

Kenneth D. Ward, Ayesha Mukhopadhyay, Tony Lugemwa,

Mark W. Vander Weg, Taghrid Asfar, Wasim Maziak

Copyright: © 2024 The Author(s); Published by Kerman University of Medical Sciences .This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

Please cite this article as: Kenneth D. Ward, Ayesha Mukhopadhyay, Tony Lugemwa ,Mark W. Vander Weg, Taghrid Asfar, Wasim Maziak. Characteristics of waterpipe smokers who want to quit population-based findings from Syria. Addict Health. 2024; x(x):x-x.

This PDF file is an Author Accepted Manuscript (AAM) version, which has not been typeset or copyedited, but has been peer reviewed. Addiction & Health publishes the AAM version of all accepted manuscripts upon acceptance to reach fast visibility. During the proofing process, errors may be discovered (by the author/s or editorial office) that could affect the content, and we will correct those in the final proof



PubMed | PubMed Central | Sherpa Romeo Islamic World Science Citation (ISC) Google Scholar | Index Copernicus

Abstract

Background: Many waterpipe users want to quit but have difficulty doing so. Little is known about characteristics of those who want to quit.

Methods: We conducted a secondary analysis of a population-based household survey of 2038 adults in Aleppo, Syria, using two-stage cluster sampling. We examined prevalence of, and reasons for, wanting to quit, and compared users who wanted vs. did not want to quit on sociodemographic, psychosocial-, tobacco- and health-related characteristics.

Results: Twelve percent of adults smoked waterpipe (n=248), and of these, 56% wanted to quit and 25% had made a quit attempt in the past year. Friends/socializing (69%) and boredom/free time (16%) were the most reported obstacles to quitting. Those who wanted to quit.walked more frequently (OR= 1.85; 95% CI= 1.24-2.77), ate less fruit (OR = 0.56; CI= 0.42-0.73), and were more likely to experience sneezing/blocked nose (OR= 2.55, CI= 1.22-5.34). Compared to users who did not also smoke cigarettes, dual users who wanted to quit cigarettes were more likely to want to quit waterpipe (OR= 2.32; CI= 1.24-4.34), whereas dual users who did not want to quit cigarettes were less likely to want to quit waterpipe (OR= 0.24; CI= 0.10-0.58).

Conclusion: Many waterpipe users want to quit and perceive the loss of positive social functions to be a major obstacle. Very few sociodemographic, tobacco-related, psychosocial, or health-related characteristics are associated with wanting to quit. However, cessation efforts may benefit from targeting dual users who are motivated to quit use of all tobacco products.

Keywords: Tobacco; Waterpipe; Cessation

Introduction

Tobacco smoking using a waterpipe originated several centuries ago in Southeast Asia and the Middle East and had virtually disappeared until about thirty years ago when it enjoyed a resurgence and quickly spread globally.^{1,2} Its popularity has continued largely unabated despite growing evidence that it damages health^{3,4} and causes dependence^{5,6}. The Eastern Mediterranean region (EMR) has the highest prevalence of waterpipe use among adults across all global regions⁷. Prevalence of waterpipe smoking is especially high in Lebanon, where 33% of men and 46% of women are current users⁸.

Despite its health-damaging and dependence-causing effects, many waterpipe users in the EMR, similar to those in other regions, are not interested in quitting. A systematic review⁹ identified five studies from EMR countries (Egypt, Iraq, Lebanon, Syria, and Turkey) that assessed interest in quitting among adults, which ranged from 21% among university students in Turkey to 64% among Lebanese university students. A study of adult waterpipe smokers recruited from cafés and other public places in Qatar found that 54% intended to quit¹⁰ Two studies of adult waterpipe smokers recruited from cafés in Bahrain and Syria found that only 40% and 28%, respectively, were interested in quitting^{11,12}. Several studies indicate that waterpipe users are less interested in quitting than cigarette smokers¹²⁻¹⁶.

Several factors are associated with wanting to quit waterpipe^{17,18}. In Syria, beginning waterpipe smokers (university students) were more likely to be interested in quitting compared to older, established smokers (49.7% vs. 28.4%, respectively)¹⁹. Similarly, those who had not increased the frequency of smoking over time and had smoked for fewer years were more likely to want to quit¹², although frequency of use was not associated with interest in quitting^{11,12}. Across several studies, health concern was cited as the major motivator to quit^{9,12}, and boredom, socializing with friends who smoke, and addictiveness were reported as barriers^{9,12,19}. Other factors associated with interest in quitting included not believing oneself to be addicted¹¹, having family or friends disapprove of its use¹², being married¹², and having no family members who smoke waterpipe^{11,12}.

There are several limitations to the literature, however. Despite the seriousness of the waterpipe epidemic in the EMR, only a limited number of studies from this region have examined factors associated with interest in quitting waterpipe. These studies have all utilized relatively small and non-representative samples such as university students or café customers, and the results may not generalize to the wider population of waterpipe users. Lastly, existing studies have examined only a limited number of potentially important determinants of interest in quitting. For example, interest in quitting may track with engagement in other health-promoting behaviors (e.g., diet, physical activity, and alcohol use), with the diagnosis of smoking-related health conditions, utilization of healthcare services which provides an opportunity for detection of smoking-related health conditions and encouragement to quit from healthcare professionals, and psychosocial indicators such as depression, anxiety, social support, and religious participation. Indeed, these factors are associated with stronger motivation to quit cigarette smoking^{14,20}, but have not been assessed adequately in waterpipe smokers.

The purpose of this study is to examine correlates of wanting to quit waterpipe among a large, representative sample of adults in the EMR, a region that has a very high burden of waterpipe use. We conducted a secondary analysis of data from the Aleppo Household Survey, which enrolled adults in Aleppo, Syria and examined a much wider number and range of potentially important determinants of interest in quitting waterpipe than has been studied previously, including sociodemographic factors, tobacco use history, patterns and attitudes, exposure to others' tobacco use, health status, healthcare utilization, health behaviors, religious participation, and psychosocial status.

Methods

Sample and procedures

The Aleppo Household Survey (AHS) aimed to characterize major health problems and risks among adults in Syria and is described in detail elsewhere^{21,22}. In 2004, AHS recruited a representative sample of adults, 18-65 years of age, in Aleppo, Syria. Two-stage, stratified, cluster sampling with probability proportional to size was used for the selection of residential neighborhoods. Two strata of neighborhoods were sampled, including formal and informal residential neighborhoods based on the official enumeration of the municipal registry. From each stratum, residential neighborhoods were selected with probability proportional to size (PPS). Households were selected within each neighborhood with equal probability and an adult, 18-65 years of age, was randomly selected from each household. Informed written consent was obtained from all participants before the survey was administered. Surveys and physical measurements were administered by two-person, mixed gender teams using a computer-based interface for the recording of responses and measurements. Overall, 2038 adults participated in the survey (45.2% male, mean age 35.3 years, response rate 86%). The missing data rate was very low (<0.5%) for all variables assessed in this study.

Measures

For this study, the outcome variable was wanting to quit waterpipe ("Do you want to quit smoking narghile?" (ves, no)). "Narghile" is the local term for waterpipe; the question was asked of all respondents who reported smoking narghile occasionally or daily. All waterpipe smokers also indicated whether any of several factors were reasons they did (or might in the future) want to quit waterpipe, including to protect one's own health, protect family's health, cost, religion, and "other." Several categories of explanatory variables were assessed, as follows:

<u>Sociodemographics</u>: Age, sex, marital status, education level (≤ 9 years, >9 years), neighborhood type (formal, informal), and density index (DI). Informal neighborhoods represent about half of Aleppo's population and were characterized by residences that were built illegally or on

land that was not designated for housing. Residing in an informal zone was used as a proxy for poverty status. DI was used as a proxy measure for socioeconomic status and was calculated by dividing the number of household members by the number of rooms in the house^{23,24}.

<u>Religion</u>: Religious affiliation (Muslim, non-Muslim), importance of religious beliefs (None or a little, moderate, much, very much), and frequency of attending religious services (never, 1-2 times/year, 1-2 times/month, once/week, more than once/week) were collected.

<u>Tobacco use history, patterns, and attitudes</u>: Variables included age at which waterpipe use began, number of years smoking waterpipe, frequency of waterpipe use (daily vs. less than daily), attempt to quit waterpipe made in past year (yes, no), current cigarette smoking status (occasionally or daily vs. not at all), number of cigarettes smoked daily, interested in quitting cigarettes (yes, no), attempted to quit cigarette smoking in past year (yes, no), and longest period without smoking any tobacco product (in days).

Respondents reported whether they had a person to support them in quitting waterpipe use such as a spouse, relative, or friend (yes, no), their main reason for wanting to quit waterpipe (own health, family's health, cost, religion, other), perceived difficulty of quitting waterpipe (not difficult vs at least a little difficult), and perceived challenges of quitting waterpipe (having friends who smoke/social atmosphere, boredom/free time, addiction/habit/stress).

To help understand how, among dual users, decisions to quit cigarettes and waterpipe may be linked, we created a 3-category variable indicating whether the participant did not smoke cigarettes, smoked cigarettes and was not interested in quitting them, or smoked cigarettes and was interested in quitting them. For analyses, two dummy-coded vectors were created comparing willing to quit cigarette smokers to non-smokers, and not willing to quit cigarette smokers to non-smokers.

<u>Exposure to others' tobacco use</u>: Respondents indicated whether they had a spouse who smoked waterpipe at least weekly (yes, no), the total number of waterpipes smoked daily in the house, whether one or more household members other than participant smoked waterpipe in the home (yes, no), and the total number of cigarettes smoked daily in the house. <u>Health status</u>: Obesity (body mass index <30 vs. \geq 30) was assessed objectively by measuring respondents' height and weight. Self-rated overall health status was reported as excellent, good, normal, bad, or very bad. Respondents also reported whether they had ever (unless another time frame is noted) been diagnosed by a physician (yes, no) with several health conditions which may be caused or exacerbated by tobacco use, including heart disease, chest pain, hypertension, stroke, hypercholesterolemia, diabetes, peptic ulcer disease, asthma, chest wheezing during past year, chronic bronchitis or emphysema, sneezing and blocked nose during past year, sinusitis during past year, or acute respiratory infection during past year.

<u>Healthcare utilization</u>: Respondents indicated (yes, no) whether they used governmentsponsored primary healthcare centers (yes, no), received a medical check-up during past year, utilized healthcare during the past year specifically for respiratory problems, asthma, nose allergy, sinusitis, or other respiratory problems, and whether they used medication in the past month for respiratory problems, asthma, or other respiratory problems.

Health behaviors: Respondents indicated whether they drank alcohol ever and in the past month (yes, no), frequency of alcohol use (daily, 1-2 times/week, only occasionally), frequency of eating vegetables, fruits, and chips (none or little, 1-2 times/week, 3 or more times/week, more than once daily), whether they practiced sports regularly (yes, no), frequency of sports practice per week during past month (none or little, 1-2 times/week, 3 or more times per week), frequency of walking at least 10 minutes during past month (none or little, 1-2 days per week, 3 or more days per week), whether their work involved mainly sitting or required exertion for more than 10 minutes (yes, no). They also reported the number of hours spent daily in front of a screen (< 1 hour, 1-3 hours, 3-5 hours, > 5 hours).

<u>Psychosocial status</u>: Respondents reported (yes, no) whether they had a source of social support ("Someone is available who supports and helps you"), have received treatment for depression, felt blue or depressed in the past year, and suffered from anxiety and stress in the past year.

Statistical analysis

Interest in quitting waterpipe was regressed on independent variables in logistic regression models. As a first step, bivariable associations were assessed and those variables associated with interest in quitting at the p<0.10 level were included in a multivariable model. Pearson and deviance residual plots were evaluated and model fit was judged to be good. Multicollinearity was assessed by examining variance inflation factors in a regression model. Means (SD) or percentages and p-values from logistic models are reported for bivariable models. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals are reported for the multivariable model.

Results

Sample characteristics

Of the 2038 respondents, 248 (12.2%) currently smoked waterpipe daily or occasionally; of these, 73% were men and 27% women. The average age of waterpipe smokers was 32 years (SD=XX), ranging from 18-65, 91.5% of participants were Muslim while the rest were Christian, and 66.9% were married. The majority of participants reported smoking waterpipe occasionally (92.7%) as opposed to daily. Mean number of years of smoking waterpipe was 6.0 (SD=6.3).

Prevalence and reasons for wanting to guit waterpipe use

Overall, 55.6% of waterpipe users (n=138) wanted to quit. Among dual (waterpipe and cigarette) smokers (n= 165), most of those interested in quitting waterpipe also reported interest in quitting cigarettes (90.5%).

Main reasons for wanting to quit waterpipe now or in the future, perceived challenges of quitting, and perceived difficulty of quitting did not differ according to whether the person now wanted to quit (all p-values > .63; see Table 1); as such, results for these variables are presented for the entire sample of waterpipe smokers (n=248). Among these 248 waterpipe smokers, 82.2% and 11.5% wanted to quit to protect their own health or their family's health, respectively. Cost, religious proscription, and "other" reasons were reported by only small numbers of respondents. The main challenges of quitting included friends and the social atmosphere surrounding its use (68.9%) and free time/boredom (16.1%). Only 4.4% reported addiction or habit as a challenge for quitting. The vast majority of waterpipe smokers (90%) considered quitting to be not difficult and only 3.2% considered it to be at least a little difficult.

Determinants of interest in guitting waterpipe use

As shown in bivariable models (Table 1), several variables differentiated those who wanted vs. did not want to quit waterpipe. In terms of sociodemographic and religious factors, those who wanted to quit were more likely to be men, have fewer years of education, live in informal zones, be Muslim, and eite religious beliefs as being less influential on them. Waterpipe use characteristics did not differ by desire to quit, except that those wanting to quit were more likely to have made a quit attempt in the past year. Among dual (waterpipe/cigarette) smokers (n=165), most of those who wanted to quit waterpipe (90.5%) also wanted to quit smoking cigarettes and were more likely to have tried to quit cigarettes in the past year. No differences were found between those who wanted to quit vs. did not want to quit waterpipe in terms of exposure to others' tobacco use (e.g. having a spouse who smokes, number of smokers in the household, and total number of waterpipes and cigarettes

smoked in the house). For health conditions, those who wanted to quit were more likely to be diagnosed with hypertension and to have experienced sneezing/blocked nose during the past year. Those who wanted to quit ate less fruit, played more sports, and engaged in more walking during the past month.

Four variables that were significant in bivariable models remained significant in a multivariable logistic regression analysis (Table 2). Compared to waterpipe smokers who did not smoke cigarettes, dual users who did not want to quit cigarettes were less likely to want to quit waterpipe (OR= 0.24, 95% CI= 0.10-0.58) while dual users who wanted to quit cigarettes were more likely to want to quit waterpipe (OR= 2.32, 95% CI= 1.24-4.34). Those wanting to quit waterpipe walked more frequently (OR= 1.85; 95% CI= 1.24-2.77), ate less fruit (OR = 0.56; CI= 0.42-0.73) and were more likely to experience sneezing/blocked nose in the past year (OR= 2.55, CI= 1.22-5.34).

Discussion

This is the first population-based study to examine factors related to interest in quitting waterpipe in the EMR. We examined several domains that plausibly could motivate users to quit, including sociodemographics, tobacco use history, patterns and attitudes, exposure to others' tobacco use, having smoking related health problems, healthcare utilization, health behaviors, religious participation, and psychosocial factors. Surprisingly, very few variables were associated with interest in quitting. In multivariable analyses, being a dual user who also wanted to quit cigarettes, experiencing sneezing and blocked nose over the past year, walking more, and consuming less fruit were associated with wanting to quit waterpipe. Thus, contrary to expectations, wanting to quit waterpipe smoking did not cluster with most other health behaviors or track with sociodemographic characteristics, chronicity of tobacco use, being exposed to others' smoking, psychosocial factors, healthcare access and utilization, or (other than sneezing/blocked nose) having any of several tobacco-related

health conditions is especially notable given that in the present study, as well as several others^{9-12,25}, avoiding health problems is the most common reason given for wanting to quit²⁶. Thus, smokers worry that they will develop health problems, but once manifest, these problems do not appear to motivate them to quit.

More encouragingly, more than half (56%) of users in this population-based study wanted to quit waterpipe, which is in line with estimates from other studies that mostly used convenience samples. A systematic review reported that 21-64% of waterpipe users in the EMR and Middle East were interested in quitting⁹. In Saudi Arabia, only 17% of waterpipe smokers were interested in quitting¹⁴. Our estimate is higher than a previous study from Syria that randomly sampled adult waterpipe café customers and found that only 28% wanted to quit¹². Although prior studies suggested that younger people, and those with less chronic smoking histories, are more likely to want to quit^{19,27,28}, the present population-based study did not replicate these findings; thus, the fact that café customers tend to be older, "established" users compared to the general population may not account for their lower interest in quitting. Instead, this discrepancy may reflect the highly reinforcing social features of waterpipe smoking. Indeed, in the present study, the two most reported challenges to quitting waterpipe were friends/socializing and boredom/free time, which were reported by 69% and 16% of waterpipe users, respectively. These findings parallel other studies that reported socializing being a barrier to quitting waterpipe^{9,10,12} and are also consistent with findings in the U.S. that waterpipe users are less likely to report addiction as a barrier to cessation compared to cigarette smokers^{29,30}.

Although the vast majority of waterpipe smokers perceived quitting to be "not difficult," the reality is far different. In the Aleppo Household Survey, more than half (58%) of current waterpipe smokers had tried unsuccessfully to quit in the past year and the overall quit rate (former smokers divided by ever smokers) was only 28%¹⁵. Waterpipe cessation intervention is still in its infancy³¹⁻³³. Interventions to date have used individualistic approaches that are typically used in cigarette cessation programs, and which do not directly address the strong social component of waterpipe use^{16,31,34}.

Although waterpipe use produces dependence^{5,35}, the few trials which have treated dependence pharmacologically (using Varenicline or Buproprion) have not been successful³¹. There is some evidence that behavioral cessation approaches using standard stimulus control and contingency management strategies increase waterpipe quit rates, but acceptability, retention, and quit rates have been modest³¹. Our results are consistent with another study from Bahrain¹¹ indicating that dual users who want to quit all tobacco use (both waterpipe and cigarettes) may be especially amenable to cessation efforts. However, in the context of these reported treatment failures, it is likely that successful treatment will require addressing not only dependence but the strongly reinforcing social features of waterpipe use. Doing so may require less focus on individualistic treatment in favor of more complex involvement of social networks, such as family and/or friends, as treatment facilitators or recipients. This is especially important in the Middle East where waterpipe use is highly normative and there is not yet a "culture of quitting" (e.g., cessation efforts are not yet widely available or supported)^{33,36}.

This is the first large-scale, population-level analysis of determinants of interest in quitting waterpipe. As such, our findings will be useful to guide waterpipe cessation efforts, but important limitations should be noted. The cross-sectional design prevents us from making causal inferences about observed associations. Additionally, we performed a secondary analysis of data collected several years ago and factors related to interest in quitting may have changed over time. We cannot rule out such changes, but the likelihood of such bias seems remote given the continuing high prevalence of waterpipe use in the Eastern Mediterranean region^{8,34} and consistency of reported determinants of its use over time³⁷⁻³⁹. Lastly, we assessed a large number of associations which increases the probability of Type I error. However, given the exploratory nature of this study, we judged Type II error to be a more serious concern than Type I.

In conclusion, a comprehensive population-based examination of factors related to interest in quitting waterpipe found very few associations. The strongly reinforcing social nature of waterpipe use presents formidable barriers to cessation which must be addressed in cessation interventions. It may be useful to focus intervention efforts especially on dual users who are highly motivated to quit use of all tobacco products.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by USPHS grant R21 TW006545. We thank Drs. Xichen Mou and Onoja Akpa for their analytic expertise.

Conflicts of Interest

All authors have declared no conflicts of interest

Table 1. Bivariable associations of w		waterpipe s		0.501.51	
	Does not		Odds	95% CI	
	want to	Wants to	Ratio		
	quit (%)	quit (%)			p value
	N=110	N=138			
Sociodemographic					
Age (years)	33.4 (11.5)	31.6 (9.8)	1.02	0.99-1.04	0.197
Gender (% male)	64.5	80.4	0.44	0.25-0.79	0.005
Married (% yes)	63.6	69.6	0.77	0.45-1.30	0.324
Total years of education	10 (3.7)	8.8 (4.0)	1.09	1.02-1.16	0.016
Zone (% formal)	77.3	51.4	Ref		\sim
(% informal)	22.7	48.5	3.21	1.84-5.60	<.0001
Density Index ¹	1.6 (1.18)	1.9 (1.15)	0.83	0.66-1.056	0.130
	1.0 (1.10)	1.5 (1.15)	0.00	0.0002.0000	0.130
Religion					
Religious Affiliation					
	12.8	5.1	Pof	V	<u> </u>
Non-Muslim			Ref	1 07 7 00	0.025
Muslim	87.2	94.9	2.76	1.07-7.09	0.035
Influence of religious beliefs on					
you (%)					
None or a little	4.6	7.3	Ref		
Moderate	33.6	44.2	0.44	0.13-1.49	0.185
Much	40	33.3	0.52	0.17-1.62	0.269
Very Much	21.8	15.2	0.82	0.26-2.60	0.742
Frequency of attending religious	, C		1		
meetings (%)					
Never	34.5	19.6	Ref		
1-2 times per year	10	12.3	2.05	1.00-4.20	0.049
1-2 times per month	6.4	8.7	2.23	1.13-4.39	0.020
Once weekly	26.4	33.3	2.41	0.84-6.93	0.102
More than once weekly	20.4	25.4	2.18	0.88-5.38	0.092
Tobacco Use history, patterns,	21.0	25.4	2.10	0.00-5.50	0.052
and attitudes			1.02	0.00.1.04	
Age (years) of initiation of			1.02	0.99-1.04	
narghile	27.2 (10.3)	25.8 (8.9)	4.04	0.07.4.05	0.262
Number of years smoking	6.2 (6.7)	5.8 (6.1)	1.01	0.97-1.05	0.606
Frequency of smoking (%)					
Daily	10	5.1	Ref		
Occasionally	90	94.9	2.08	0.78-5.56	0.144
Attempted to quit waterpipe in					
past year					
No	89.1	63.8	Ref		
Yes	10.9	36.2	4.64	2.32-9.28	<.0001
Current cigarette smoking status					
Not at all	36.4	31.2	Ref		
Daily	50.9	55.8	1.28	0.74-2.20	0.382
Occasionally	12.7	13.0	1.20	0.53-2.72	0.669
	23.84	21.55	1.01	0.99-1.03	
Number of cigarettes smoked daily ²	(20.34)	(14.72)			0.449

1		0.11	0.05.0.25	
F1 4	00 F	0.11	0.05-0.25	4 0001
51.4	90.5	0.52		<.0001
47.4	CD D	0.52	0.28-0.98	0.044
47.1	63.2	1.00	0.00.1.01	0.041
00 2 (02 4)	72.0 (02.0)	1.00	0.99-1.01	0.004
		0.72	0 42 4 40	0.684
53.6	61.6	0.72	0.43-1.19	0.207
2.0	2.2	D (
				0.010
				0.819
				0.823
				1.000
80.6	82.6	0.68	0.03-18.06	0.809
90	89.9	1.02	0.44-2.34	0.970
	-			0.061
20	13	2.18	0.50-9.45	0.297
0.81 (1.57)	1.88 (2.20)	0.71	0.47-1.09	0.118
75.4	78.3	1.30	0.70-2.41	0.401
	29			0.069
60	71	1.63	0.96-2.76	0.069
17.3				
18.2		0.67		0.338
		0.75		0.493
18.2	15.2	0.59	0.26-1.35	0.209
28.2	23.2	0.58	0.27-1.22	0.149
97.27	97.10	Ref		
2.73	2.90	1.64	0.23-4.86	0.936
57.27	50.72	Ref		
42.73	49.28	1.30	0.79-2.16	0.305
88.18	94.20	Ref		
	F 00	0.46	0.18-1.16	0.097
11.82	5.80	0.40		
11.82	5.80	0.40		
11.82	99.28	Ref		
100.00	99.28	Ref		
	17.3 18.2 18.2 28.2 97.27 2.73 57.27 42.73	47.1 63.2 80.2 (93.1) 72.9 (93.9) 53.6 61.6 2.8 2.2 2.8 2.2 2.8 2.2 1.4 2.8 2.8 2.2 11.1 11.6 80.6 82.6 9.9 10.1 90 89.9 10.9 6.5 65.5 71.7 20 13 0.81 (1.57) 1.88 (2.20) 24.5 21.7 75.4 78.3 40 29 60 71 18.2 19.6 18.2 15.2 28.2 23.2 97.27 97.10 2.73 2.90	47.1 63.2 1.00 80.2 (93.1) 72.9 (93.9) 1.00 53.6 61.6 0.72 2.8 2.2 Ref 2.8 1.4 1.31 2.8 2.2 Ref 2.8 2.2 1.33 11.1 11.6 1.00 80.6 82.6 0.68 9.9 10.1 Ref 90 89.9 1.02 10.9 6.5 Ref 65.5 71.7 3.67 20 13 2.18 0.81 (1.57) 1.88 (2.20) 0.71 24.5 21.7 Ref 75.4 78.3 1.30 24.5 21.7 Ref 60 71 1.63 40 29 Ref 60 71 1.63 17.3 24.6 Ref 18.2 17.4 0.67 18.2 15.2 0.59 28.2 23.2 0.58 97.27 97.10 Ref <td>51.4 90.5 0.52 0.28-0.98 47.1 63.2 1.00 0.99-1.01 80.2 (93.1) 72.9 (93.9) 0 0 53.6 61.6 0.72 0.43-1.19 2.8 2.2 Ref 0 2.8 1.4 1.31 0.131-13.06 2.8 2.2 1.33 0.11-16.48 11.1 11.6 1.00 0.04-24.55 80.6 82.6 0.68 0.03-18.06 9.9 10.1 Ref 90 9.9 10.1 Ref 90 90 89.9 1.02 0.44-2.34 10.9 6.5 Ref 0.50-9.45 65.5 71.7 3.67 0.94-14.30 20 13 2.18 0.50-9.45 7 0.47 0.67 0.47-1.09 0.81 (1.57) 1.88 (2.20) 0.71 0.47-1.09 24.5 21.7 Ref 1.05-2.58 60 71 1.63 0.96-2.76 40 29 Ref 1.05-2.58<</td>	51.4 90.5 0.52 0.28-0.98 47.1 63.2 1.00 0.99-1.01 80.2 (93.1) 72.9 (93.9) 0 0 53.6 61.6 0.72 0.43-1.19 2.8 2.2 Ref 0 2.8 1.4 1.31 0.131-13.06 2.8 2.2 1.33 0.11-16.48 11.1 11.6 1.00 0.04-24.55 80.6 82.6 0.68 0.03-18.06 9.9 10.1 Ref 90 9.9 10.1 Ref 90 90 89.9 1.02 0.44-2.34 10.9 6.5 Ref 0.50-9.45 65.5 71.7 3.67 0.94-14.30 20 13 2.18 0.50-9.45 7 0.47 0.67 0.47-1.09 0.81 (1.57) 1.88 (2.20) 0.71 0.47-1.09 24.5 21.7 Ref 1.05-2.58 60 71 1.63 0.96-2.76 40 29 Ref 1.05-2.58<

Yes	2.73	4.35	1.62	0.39-6.63	0.502
Diabetes ³	2.75	4.55	1.02	0.35-0.05	0.502
No	100.00	98.55	Ref		
Yes	0.00	1.45			
Peptic Ulcer	0.00	1.45			
No	87.27	89.13	Ref		
Yes	12.73	10.87		0.20.1.92	0.651
	12.75	10.87	0.84	0.39-1.82	0.651
Obesity BMI < 30	68.18	72.46	Ref		
				0 71 0 10	0.462
BMI >=30	31.82	27.54	1.23	0.71-2.13	0.462
Self-rated overall health	2.6	2.2			
Very bad	3.6	2.2	Ref	0.04 7.46	
Bad	4.5	3.6	1.52	0.31-7.46	0.615
Normal	31.8	31.9	1.86	0.39-8.74	0.432
Good	39.1	43.5	1.68	0.35-7.99	0.517
Excellent	20.9	18.8	1.33	0.19-9.31	0.772
Asthma diagnosed by a doctor					
No	89.1	90.6	Ref		
Yes	10.9	9.4	0.85	0.37-1.94	0.699
Past year wheezing (%)					
No	89.1	84.8	Ref	•	
Yes	10.9	15.2	0.74	0.45-1.21	0.224
Diagnosed chronic bronchitis or			0.40	0.11-1.52	
emphysema (%)	2.7	6.5			0.179
Past year sneezing and blocked nose			0.40	0.21-0.77	
(% yes)	14.5	29.7			0.005
Past year suffering from sinusitis (%)					
No	90	84.1	Ref		
Yes	9.9	16.0	0.67	0.43-1.04	0.076
Past year suffering from acute	$\circ \lor$				
respiratory infection (%)					
No	91.8	92.7	Ref		
Yes	8.2	7.2	3.94	0.63-24.73	0.144
Healthcare Utilization					
Uses government primary health			0.67	0.34-1.31	
centers (% yes)	14.5	20.3			0.241
Past year medical checkup (% yes)	12.7	10.9	1.19	0.55-2.60	0.651
Utilized healthcare in the past year					
for:					
Respiratory problems (%)	10	10.9	0.91	0.40-2.07	0.825
Asthma (%)	18.2	13.3	1.44	0.17-12.2	0.735
Nose allergy (%)	9.1	13.3	0.65	0.05-8.22	0.739
Sinusitis (%)	18.2	46.7	0.25	0.04-1.60	0.144
Other respiratory problems (%)	18.2	0		-	
Used medication in past month for:					
Respiratory problems (%)	2.7	8.7	0.29	0.08-1.07	0.063
Asthma (%)	33.3	16.7	2.5	0.15-42.80	0.527
Other respiratory problems (%)	33.3	25	1.5	0.10-23.07	0.771
Health Behaviors			ļ		
Drank alcohol in past month (% yes)	20.9	14.5	1.56	0.81-3.02	0.186
Frequency of alcohol drinking					
Daily	17.4	10	Ref		
1-2/ week	13	30	4.00	0.45-35.78	0.215
Only occasionally	69.6	60	1.50	0.24-9.59	0.668
Frequency of vegetable intake (%)					

None or little	2.7	2.2	Ref		
1-2 times/week	12.7	17.4	1.71	0.30-9.68	0.542
3 or more times a week	20.9	23.9	1.44	0.27-7.75	0.675
More than once /day	63.6	56.5	1.11	0.22-5.70	0.897
Frequency of fruit intake (%)	00.0	30.3		0.22 0.70	0.037
None or little	10	23.2	Ref		
1-2 times/week	28.2	34.1	0.52	0.23-1.19	0.120
3 or more times a week	18.2	22.5	0.53	0.22-1.29	0.164
More than once /day	43.6	20.3	0.20	0.09-0.46	0.0001
Frequency of chips intake			0.20		
None or little	75.4	70.3	Ref		
1-2 times/week	12.7	18.1	1.53	0.75-3.1.	0.246
3 or more times/week	5.4	5.1	0.99	0.32-3.09	0.998
More than once/day	6.4	6.5	1.10	0.40-3.08	0.856
Practice sports regularly (% yes)	28.2	33.3	0.78	0.45-1.35	0.384
Past month frequency of sport	20.2	33.5	0.70	0.43 1.35	0.504
practice per week (%)					
None or little	6.5	21.7	Ref		
1-2 times/week	22.6	34.8	0.46	0.08-2.65	0.383
3 or more times/week	70.9	43.5	0.40	0.04-0.93	0.041
Past month frequency of 10 minutes	70.9	43.5	0.18	0.04-0.33	0.041
walking					
None or little	19.1	9.4	Ref		
1-2 days/week	17.3	10.9	1.28	0.49-3.36	0.622
3 or more days/week	63.6	79.7	2.54	1.19-5.39	0.015
Work involves mainly sitting (% yes)	33.8	37.9	1.19	0.63-2.26	0.591
Work required exertion for more	55.0	57.9	0.89	0.35-2.26	0.591
than 10 minutes (% yes)	77.8	79.7	0.89	0.55-2.20	0.809
Hours spent in front of screen (%)	11.0	13.1			0.809
Less than an hour	18.2	21.7	Ref		
1-3 hours	39.1	37.7	0.81	0.40-1.62	0.544
	18.2	15.9			0.463
3-5 hours more than 5 hours			0.73	0.32-1.68	
	24.5	24.6	0.84	0.40-1.79	0.651
Psychosocial Status					
Someone who supports and helps		04.0	0.55	0 20 1 04	0.066
you (% yes)	75.5	84.8	0.55	0.29-1.04	0.066
Treatment for depression (% yes)	0.9	0.7	1.23	0.08-20.33	0.872
Past year feeling blue and depressed	20.4	24.2	Def		
No	29.1	31.2	Ref	0.00.1.20	0.221
Yes	70.8	68.8	1.11	0.90-1.36	0.331
Past year suffering from anxiety and stress					
No	22.7	26.1	Ref		
Yes	77.2	73.9	1.00	0.81-1.24	0.968

¹ Density index defined as rooms in the household, excluding kitchen and bathrooms, divided by the number of people living there ² Current cigarette smokers, n= 165

³ Unable to calculate odds ratio due to empty cell.

Table 2. Multivariable correlates of wanting to quit waterpipe smoking (n=248)

Variable	<u>Odds Ratio</u>	<u>95% Confidence</u> Interval	<u>p-value</u>
Not interested in quitting cigarettes Interested in quitting cigarettes Non-cigarette smoker	0.24 2.32 REF	0.09, 0.58 1.24, 4.34	0.0017 0.0085
Past year sneezing and blocked nose Frequency of fruit intake Past month frequency of 10 minutes walking	2.55 0.56 1.85	1.22, 5.34 0.42, 0.73 1.24, 2.77	0.0129 <.0001 0.0027
		Nan	
	e q e		
AUTUOL			

References

- 1. Maziak W, Taleb ZB, Bahelah R, et al. The global epidemiology of waterpipe smoking. *Tob Control.* 2015;24 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):i3-i12.
- 2. Rastam S, Ward KD, Eissenberg T, Maziak W. Estimating the beginning of the waterpipe epidemic in Syria. *BMC Public Health.* 2004;4:32.
- 3. El-Zaatari ZM, Chami HA, Zaatari GS. Health effects associated with waterpipe smoking. *Tob Control.* 2015;24 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):i31-i43.
- 4. Russell MA. The nicotine addiction trap: a 40-year sentence for four cigarettes. *Br J Addict.* 1990;85(2):293-300.
- 5. Aboaziza E, Eissenberg T. Waterpipe tobacco smoking: what is the evidence that it supports nicotine/tobacco dependence? *Tob Control.* 2015;24 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):i44-i53.
- 6. Eissenberg T, Shihadeh A. Waterpipe tobacco and cigarette smoking: direct comparison of toxicant exposure. *Am J Prev Med.* 2009;37(6):518-523.
- 7. Akl EA, Gunukula SK, Aleem S, et al. The prevalence of waterpipe tobacco smoking among the general and specific populations: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2011;11:244.
- 8. Nakkash R, Khader Y, Chalak A, et al. Prevalence of cigarette and waterpipe tobacco smoking among adults in three Eastern Mediterranean countries: a cross-sectional household survey. BMJ Open. 2022;12(3):e055201.
- 9. Akl EA, Jawad M, Lam WY, Co CN, Obeid R, Irani J. Motives, beliefs and attitudes towards waterpipe tobacco smoking: a systematic review. *Harm Reduct J.* 2013;10:12.
- 10. Jaam M, Al-Marridi W, Fares H, Izham M, Kheir N, Awaisu A. Perception and intentions to quit among waterpipe smokers in Qatar: a cross-sectional survey. *Public Health Action*. 2016;6(1):38-43.
- 11. Borgan SM, Marhoon ZA, Whitford DL. Beliefs and perceptions toward quitting waterpipe smoking among cafe waterpipe tobacco smokers in Bahrain. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2013;15(11):1816-1821.
- 12. Ward KD, Hammal F, VanderWeg MW, et al. Are waterpipe users interested in quitting? *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2005;7(1):149-156.
- 13. Hammal F, Mock J, Ward KD, Eissenberg T, Maziak W. A pleasure among friends: how narghile (waterpipe) smoking differs from cigarette smoking in Syria. *Tob Control.* 2008;17(2):e3.
- 14. Monshi SS, Arbaein TI, Alzhrani AA, et al. Factors associated with the desire to quit tobacco smoking in Saudi Arabia: Evidence from the 2019 Global Adult Tobacco Survey. *Tob Induc Dis.* 2023;21:33.
- 15. Ward KD, Eissenberg T, Rastam S, et al. The tobacco epidemic in Syria. *Tob Control.* 2006;15 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):i24-29.
- 16. Asfar T, Al Ali R, Rastam S, Maziak W, Ward KD. Behavioral cessation treatment of waterpipe smoking. The first pilot randomized controlled trial. *Addict Behav.* 2014;39(6):1066-1074.
- 17. Rastam S, Eissenberg T, Ibrahim I, Ward KD, Khalil R, Maziak W. Comparative analysis of waterpipe and cigarette suppression of abstinence and craving symptoms. *Addict Behav.* 2011;36(5):555-559.
- Maziak W, Rastam S, Ibrahim I, Ward KD, Shihadeh A, Eissenberg T. CO exposure, puff topography, and subjective effects in waterpipe tobacco smokers. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2009;11(7):806-811.
- 19. Asfar T, Ward KD, Eissenberg T, Maziak W. Comparison of patterns of use, beliefs, and attitudes related to waterpipe between beginning and established smokers. *BMC Public Health.* 2005;5:19.
- 20. Abughosh S, Wu IH, Peters RJ, Hawari F, Essien EJ. Ethnicity and waterpipe smoking among US students. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.* 2012;16(11):1551-1557.

- 21. Maziak W, Ward KD, Mzayek F, et al. Mapping the health and environmental situation in informal zones in Aleppo, Syria: report from the Aleppo household survey. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health.* 2005;78(7):547-558.
- 22. Maziak W, Ward KD, Rastam S, Mzayek F, Eissenberg T. Extent of exposure to environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) and its dose-response relation to respiratory health among adults. *Respir Res.* 2005;6(1):13.
- 23. Maziak W, Asfar T. Physical abuse in low-income women in Aleppo, Syria. *Health Care Women Int.* 2003;24(4):313-326.
- 24. Maziak W, Mzayek F. Characterization of the smoking habit among high school students in Syria. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2000;16(12):1169-1176.
- 25. Lipkus IM, Mays D, K PT. Characterizing Young Adults' Susceptibility to Waterpipe Tobacco Use and Their Reactions to Messages About Product Harms and Addictiveness. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2017;19(10):1216-1223.
- 26. Lipkus IM, Eissenberg T, Schwartz-Bloom RD, Prokhorov AV, Levy J. Affecting perceptions of harm and addiction among college waterpipe tobacco smokers. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2011;13(7):599-610.
- 27. Maziak W, Ward KD, Eissenberg T. Factors related to frequency of narghile (waterpipe) use: the first insights on tobacco dependence in narghile users. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2004;76(1):101-106.
- 28. Maziak W, Rastam S, Mzayek F, Ward KD, Eissenberg T, Keil U. Cardiovascular health among adults in Syria: a model from developing countries. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2007;17(9):713-720.
- 29. Haddad L, El-Shahawy O, Ghadban R. Comparison of barriers to cessation among Arab American smokers of cigarettes and waterpipe. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2014;11(9):9522-9531.
- 30. Lipkus IM, Eissenberg T, Schwartz-Bloom RD, Prokhorov AV, Levy J. Relationships among factual and perceived knowledge of harms of waterpipe tobacco, perceived risk, and desire to quit among college users. *J Health Psychol.* 2014;19(12):1525-1535.
- 31. Asfar T, Livingstone-Banks J, Ward KD, et al. Interventions for waterpipe smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2023;6(6):CD005549.
- 32. Jawad M, Lee JT, Millett C. Waterpipe Tobacco Smoking Prevalence and Correlates in 25 Eastern Mediterranean and Eastern European Countries: Cross-Sectional Analysis of the Global Youth Tobacco Survey. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2016;18(4):395-402.
- 33. Ward KD, Siddiqi K, Ahluwalia JS, Alexander AC, Asfar T. Waterpipe tobacco smoking: The critical need for cessation treatment. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2015;153:14-21.
- 34. Jawad M, Charide R, Waziry R, Darzi A, Ballout RA, Akl EA. The prevalence and trends of waterpipe tobacco smoking: A systematic review. *PLoS One.* 2018;13(2):e0192191.
- 35. Neergaard J, Singh P, Job J, Montgomery S. Waterpipe smoking and nicotine exposure: a review of the current evidence. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2007;9(10):987-994.
- 36. Maziak W, Nakkash R, Bahelah R, Husseini A, Fanous N, Eissenberg T. Tobacco in the Arab world: old and new epidemics amidst policy paralysis. *Health Policy Plan.* 2014;29(6):784-794.
- 37. Arshad A, Matharoo J, Arshad E, Sadhra SS, Norton-Wangford R, Jawad M. Knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions towards waterpipe tobacco smoking amongst college or university students: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health.* 2019;19(1):439.
- 38. Bashirian S, Barati M, Karami M, Hamzeh B, Afshari M, Ezati E. Determinants of Waterpipe Smoking Among Women: A Systematic Review. *Int J Prev Med.* 2021;12:25.

39. Jafaralilou H, Latifi A, Khezeli M, Afshari A, Zare F. Aspects associated with waterpipe smoking in Iranian youths: a qualitative study. *BMC Public Health.* 2021;21(1):1633.