

Instructions for Authors

Aim and Scope

Addiction and Health is a peer-reviewed quarterly journal in English. This publication offers an opportunity to researchers to publish findings of their research into the impact of addiction, in its broad sense, on health, of any sort, in all areas of psychiatry, psychology, medicine, etc. In addition to original articles, taking priority, critical review articles and case reports, the journal welcomes short communications and letters to editors.

Manuscript preparation: Type the manuscript in MSWord (.doc or .docs) format using 1 inch margins all around and double line spacing. Tables and figures should be on separate papers. The manuscript should be accompanied by a covering letter.

Covering letter: It should be referred to the editor in chief and state that the manuscript has not been published previously or submitted simultaneously elsewhere.

Manuscript submission: Articles may be submitted via journals website at: <http://ahj.kmu.ac.ir>

Organization

Arrange the manuscript in the following order: Title page, Abstract, Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, Acknowledgement and References.

Title page: It should contain a concise informative title for the article, authors' details (full name, academic membership and affiliation), running title as well as mailing address, telephone/ fax numbers and e-mail address of the corresponding author.

Abstract: It should be organized in separate parts of background, methods, results, conclusion and three to five keywords selected from the Medical Subject Headings (MeSH). The abstract should not exceed 250 words (150 words for short communications). Avoid using abbreviations in the abstract.

- The abstract of case reports should contain a summary of the presented case and the reason for reporting the case.
- The abstract of review articles should contain a concise summary of the main points addressed in the article.

Introduction: It should contain the background and objectives of the study. Avoid mentioning data or conclusions of the study in this part.

Methods: It should contain a detailed explanation of all the steps taken in the research except those that refer to standard techniques. All the drugs and chemicals used in the study should be identified precisely (generic name, dose and route of administration). For all used instruments give the manufacturer's name and location (city / state / country). Mention any statistical method or computer program used in the study.

Results: This part presented in the form of text, tables and illustrations should contain only the results of the presented study and the results should be organized according to their order of importance. Refer to the table number instead of repeating the table contents in the text.

Discussion: Avoid repeating whatever has been said in the introduction or results sections. Relate and compare the findings with the results of other relevant studies. Emphasize the significant aspects of the study and the conclusions and mention the implications for further research.

Acknowledgement: Any type of technical, financial and material help that do not justify authorship should be mentioned as acknowledgment at the end of text.

Tables: They should be limited in numbers, simple, self-explanatory and organized according to the order they appear in the text. Assign each table a number and a brief title placed above. Any additional explanation should be given in the footnotes.

Figures: Figures must be numbered and cited in the text in numerical order. Place captions below.

Abbreviations and Symbols: While using only standard abbreviations, give the complete form of the abbreviation in its first use. Do not use abbreviations in the title and abstract.

References: They should be compiled numerically according to the order of their appearance in the text and be written in Vancouver style.

All articles should have related references and authors should verify the accuracy of the used references in order to minimize errors in references. All references should be written in English and should be numbered inside parentheses in the article's text and listed at the end of the article according to the order of mentioning and the time it entered in text as below:

Examples of references:

- **Journal article:** Yigit S, Korkmaz A, Sekerel B. Drug-induced hypersensitivity syndrome in a premature infant. *Pediatr Dermatol* 2005; 22(1): 71-4.
- **Complete book:** Sundel M, Sundel SS. Behavior change in the human services. 5th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage publications; 2005. p. 16-8.
- **Chapter in book:** Pinter JD, Sarnat HB. Neuroembryology. In: Winn HR, Editor. Youmans Neurological Surgery. 5th ed. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders; 2004. p. 5-45.
- **Thesis & dissertations:** Hofland HEJ. Vesicles as transdermal drug delivery systems. [Ph.D. thesis]. Leiden, The Netherlands: University of Leiden; 1992; p. 24-8.
- **Papers presented in conferences:** Bengtsson S, Solheim BG. Enforcement of data protection, privacy and security in medical informatics. In: Lun KC, Degoulet P, Piemme TE, Reinhoff O, Editors. MEDINFO 92. Proceedings of the 7th world congress on medical informatics; 1992 Sep 6-10; Geneva, Switzerland. Amsterdam: North-Holland; 1992. p. 1561-5.
- **Electronic references:** Morse SS. Factors in the emergence of infectious disease. *Emerg Infect Dis* [serial online] 1995 Jan-Mar [cited 1999 Dec 25]; 1(1): [24 screens]. Available from URL: <http://www/cdc.gov/ncidoc/EID/eid.htm>

Article Types

- **Original Article** should be limited to 5,000 words. The paper should clearly describe the reason for undertaking the work, and present methods used and the results found in separate sections. Scientific interpretations based on the findings should be presented in a discussion section; a self-critical/examination of the findings is encouraged.

- **Review Article** should be made in one of the following forms:
 - Systematic review articles might be meta-analysis, meta-synthesis or nonstatistical analysis. These articles contain parts of original research articles.
 - Non-systematic review articles should be written by experts who have at least one article on article's subject area in the reference list. The components of such articles include *Abstract*, *Introduction*, *Discussion* and *Conclusion* and might have at least twenty references and contain maximum in 5000 words.
- **Short Communication** might be a research articles, a systematic review article or an ongoing research (research project progress report) which is as reported interesting/important findings it contains. The components of such an article should be similar to original research articles; however, they are smaller and should be made maximum in 2000 words.
- **Letter to Editor** should be in terms of criticizing the previous articles, criticizing or reviewing the literatures/books, analyzing a related subject to medical education, report and criticize medical education symposiums, elaboration and explanation of an idea and clarification of a complex subject; furthermore, it should be prepared in 1000 to 1500 words.
- **Editorial** article is written by journal editor or one of the journal editorial board members or the order of such articles is provided by the editor-in-chief to experts. The content of such articles might be in-depth analysis of daily issues in medical education, challenging the systems or providing solutions in medical education and must be in a maximum of 2000 words with at least five references.

Ethical considerations: The authors should certify (in the Methods) that experiments on human subjects and animals are in accordance with ethical standards of their institution and whether informed consent was obtained from the patients in the study. The patient's rights to privacy should be considered and any identifying information should be deleted from the text, figures and tables unless it is essential for scientific purposes and the patient gives written informed consent.

Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement

Section A: Publication and Authorship

1. All submitted papers are subject to strict peer-review process by at least two international reviewers that are experts in the area of the particular paper. Reviewers are being selected by Associate Editors and Editor in Chief. Author also can propose reviewers for some journals and article types.
2. The factors that are taken into account in review are relevance, originality, readability, statistical validity and language.
3. The possible decisions include acceptance, minor revisions, major revision or rejection.
4. If authors are encouraged to revise and resubmit a submission, there is no guarantee that the revised submission will be accepted.
5. Rejected articles will not be re-reviewed.
6. The paper acceptance is constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism.
7. No research can be included in more than one publication, whether within the same journal or in another journal.

Section B: Authors' Responsibilities

1. Authors must certify that their manuscript is their original work.

2. Authors must certify that the manuscript has not previously been published elsewhere, or even submitted and been in reviewed in another journal.
3. Authors must participate in the peer review process and follow the comments.
4. Authors are obliged to provide retractions or corrections of mistakes.
5. All Authors mentioned in the paper must have significantly contributed to the research. Level of their contribution also must be defined in the “Authors’ Contributions” section of the article.
6. Authors must state that all data in the paper are real and authentic.
7. Authors must notify the Editors of any conflicts of interest.
8. Authors must identify all sources used in the creation of their manuscript.
9. Authors must report any errors they discover in their published paper to the Editors.
10. Authors must not use irrelevant sources that may help other researches/journals.
11. Authors cannot withdraw their articles within the review process or after submission, or they must pay the penalty defined by the publisher.

Section C: Peer Review/Responsibility for the Reviewers

1. Reviewers should keep all information regarding papers confidential and treat them as privileged information.
2. Reviews should be conducted objectively, with no personal criticism of the author. No self-knowledge of the author(s) must affect their comments and decision.
3. Reviewers should express their views clearly with supporting arguments in 500 to 1000 words.
4. Reviewers may identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors.
5. Reviewers should also call to the Editor in Chief's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.
6. Reviewers should not review manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

Section D: Editorial Responsibilities

1. Editors (Associate Editors or Editor in Chief) have complete responsibility and authority to reject/accept an article.
2. Editors are responsible for the contents and overall quality of the publication.
3. Editors should always consider the needs of the authors and the readers when attempting to improve the publication.
4. Editors should guarantee the quality of the papers and the integrity of the academic record.
5. Editors should publish errata pages or make corrections when needed.
6. Editors should have a clear picture of a research's funding sources.
7. Editors should base their decisions solely on the papers' importance, originality, clarity and relevance to publication's scope.
8. Editors should not reverse their decisions nor overturn the ones of previous editors without serious reason.
9. Editors should preserve the anonymity of reviewers (in half blind peer review journals).
10. Editors should ensure that all research material they publish conforms to international accepted ethical guidelines.
11. Editors should only accept a paper when reasonably certain.

12. Editors should act if they suspect misconduct, whether a paper is published or unpublished, and make all reasonable attempts to persist in obtaining a resolution to the problem.
13. Editors should not reject papers based on suspicions; they should have proof of misconduct.
14. Editors should not allow any conflicts of interest between staff, authors, reviewers and board members.
15. Editors must not change their decision after submitting a decision (especially after reject or accept) unless they have a serious reason.

Section E: Publishing Ethics Issues

1. All editorial members, reviewers and authors must confirm and obey rules defined by COPE.
2. Corresponding author is the main owner of the article so she/he can withdraw the article when it is incomplete (before entering the review process or when a revision is asked for).
3. Authors cannot make major changes in the article after acceptance without a serious reason.
4. All editorial members and authors must will to publish any kind of corrections honestly and completely.
5. Any notes of plagiarism, fraudulent data or any other kinds of fraud must be reported completely to COPE.

Authorship

As stated in the Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals, credit for authorship requires substantial contributions to: 1. Substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; AND 2. Drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND 3. Final approval of the version to be published; AND 4. Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

All authors must sign authorship form attesting that they fulfill the authorship criteria. Your submitted manuscript will not be processed unless this form is sent. There should be a statement in manuscript explaining contribution of each author to the work. Those contributors who did not fulfill authorship criteria should be listed in acknowledgments.

Any change in authorship after submission must be approved in writing by all authors. All persons designated as authors should qualify for authorship. The order of authorship should be a joint decision of the co-authors. Assurance that all authors of the paper have fulfilled these criteria for authorship should be given in the covering letter.

Conflicts of interest: Authors must acknowledge and declare any sources of funding and potential conflicting interest, such as receiving funds or fees by, or holding stocks and shares in, an organization that may profit or lose through publication of your paper. Declaring a competing interest will not lead to automatic rejection of the paper, but we would like to be made aware of it.

Publication fee: There is no charge for publication in this journal.

Copyright: Authors who publish with this journal agree to the following terms:

- a. Authors retain copyright and grant the journal right of first publication with the work simultaneously licensed under a Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International that allows others

to share the work with an acknowledgement of the work's authorship and initial publication in this journal.

b. Authors are able to enter into separate, additional contractual arrangements for the non-exclusive distribution of the journal's published version of the work (e.g., post it to an institutional repository or publish it in a book), with an acknowledgement of its initial publication in this journal.

c. Authors are permitted and encouraged to post their work online (e.g., in institutional repositories or on their website) prior to and during the submission process, as it can lead to productive exchanges, as well as earlier and greater citation of published work (See The Effect of Open Access).

Peer-review process: Once the manuscript is received by the journal office, a blind copy is sent to the editorial board for initial reviewing. The comments of editorial board are discussed in the weekly meetings of the editorial board. Possible decisions in this step may be rejection, requiring minor revisions before being sent to the reviewers or sufficient enough to be sent to the reviewers. A notification letter, along with the article code for all further correspondences, is sent to the corresponding author. Those articles with sufficient quality for peer-reviewing are sent to four experts in the field to be reviewed via a double-blinded process. As soon as receiving at least 3 reviewers' comments, they are discussed in the editorial board meeting. Possible decisions in this step may be accepting as it is, requiring revision to be published or rejection. In the case of needing revision the reviewers' comments are forwarded to the corresponding author for final revision. After receiving the revised manuscript, provided that the authors fulfill all reviewers' comments, the manuscript is scheduled for publication in the next issue.

Final proof

After acceptance and editing of the article, the galley proof is sent to the corresponding author via e-mail and the author is responsible for proofreading. Corrections should be marked clearly and the article should be returned within 48 hours.